

















































## Case Study: The Order

© 2021 NACCOP

The respondent has filed an appeal claiming a procedural irregularity. On the hearing agenda, it indicated that the respondent should have been cross-examined first; however, the complainant went first.

16



17

## Case Study: The Missing Pages

The respondent has filed an appeal claiming a procedural irregularity and new evidence. They received the final report without the complainant's written response to the report. It seems that those pages were omitted. The respondent claims that they were unaware of the missing pages because there were no page numbers. The respondent stated that they were not able to prepare accurately for the hearing.



## Case Study: The Witness

The complainant has filed an appeal claiming new evidence. The complainant stated that they just learned of a witness to the incident (alleged sexual assault at a fraternity party). A fraternity brother of the respondent walked into the room as the respondent was penetrating the complainant. The witness had not come forward earlier for fear of retaliation.



## Case Study: The Fan

© 2021 NACCOP

A recent student sexual harassment case resulted in a finding of "not responsible." The complainant has submitted an appeal claiming investigator bias. The appeal states that the investigator was biased against her because the investigator favored the respondent, a football player. The complainant referenced being interviewed in the investigator's office which had school football paraphernalia displayed, including a signed football with the respondent's signature.

19







